

Modernising Australia's approach to managing established pests and diseases of national significance

Consultation questions

On the management of established pests and diseases of national significance:

1) *Are the proposed policy principles appropriate and practical?*

Yes.

2) *Are the proposed policy principles sufficient?*

Yes.

On the management of established pests and diseases of national significance:

3) *Should listing of established pests and diseases of national significance be for a defined period or open ended?*

A Defined period.

4) *What form of review should be required to maintain the listing of a pest or disease as an established pest or disease of national significance?*

Assessment every 5 years in accordance with policy and criteria developed by the IPAC for vertebrate pests and weeds.

5) *What is an appropriate time for such a review?*

Every 5 years.

On the management of established pests and diseases of national significance:

6) *Are the proposed roles and responsibilities clear, particularly in relation to your role?*

Yes.

7) *Are the proposed roles and responsibilities appropriate and practical?*

Yes.

On the management of established pests and diseases of national significance:

8) *What are the issues with establishing and maintaining effective collective action?*

- Lack of knowledge or lack of awareness in some stakeholder groups
- Lack of capacity in some stakeholder groups
- High staff turnover in remote and regional areas.

9) *How can the coordinated approach be best implemented across the various stakeholder groups?*

- See the model developed under the Australian Feral Camel Management Project.

10) *How do you see yourself (or your interest/industry/organisation) contributing?*

- In accordance with the role defined for government.

Keryl Cottier

Executive Officer to the CEO

Department of Land Resource Management