

Report on reassessment visit to approved multi-site facilities

FACILITY:		
SITE:		
NATA ACCREDITATION NO:		
SITE NO:		
DATE OF VISIT:		
AUTHORISED REPRESENTATIVE:		
LEAD ASSESSOR:		
TECHNICAL ASSESSOR:		
AGREED RESPONSE DATE: (to conditions for Approval)		
	Signed by:	
	Name:	
	Date:	
ı-site:		
11.		

Time on

Codes used in this report: 0 = Observation This may be a recommendation, information, clarification, a reminder or flag for followup/review at the next assessment. Observations do not require a response. May include, but not limited to, the following: · An issue is random or infrequent (e.g. only a M =Minor Condition few staff training records have been found to be out of date); · An issue that does not contribute directly to the reliability of test results but is still a criterion for accreditation (e.g. all staff have received appropriate training for an updated method but this has not been recorded). For initial assessments and variation visits, minor nonconformities must be addressed as per major nonconformities. For all other visits, the cause analysis and action taken or planned to be taken is required. Supporting evidence does not need to be submitted as this will be reviewed at the following assessment visit. Responses to minor nonconformities raised in relation to the transition of accreditation from the one version of a Standard to a new version of the same Standard must include supporting evidence of the action taken. Such minor nonconformities are written with the year of the new Standard in brackets e.g. M C =Condition May include, but not limited to, the following: · An issue that contributes directly, or has the potential to contribute directly, to the reliability of test results (e.g. inadequate staff training, calibration deficiency, inadequate quality control). This is irrespective of whether the issue is random/infrequent or systemic; · An issue, that whilst it does not contribute directly to the reliability of test results, is systemic (i.e. the same deficiency has occurred on at least a number of occasions); · An issue that contributes directly to how results may be interpreted by the client (e.g. sampling deficiencies); · An issue that has been raised previously as a minor nonconformity but has not been fully or appropriately addressed. A response is required on major nonconformities, including the cause analysis, the action taken and supporting evidence.

Contents

1	GEN	GENERAL REQUIREMENTS				
	1.1	NATA Scope	3			
	1.2	Impartiality and Confidentiality	3			
2	STRI	UCTURAL REQUIREMENTS	3			
	2.1	Organisation	3			
3	RESC	DURCE REQUIREMENTS	4			
	3.1	Personnel	4			
	3.2	Facilities and Environmental Conditions	4			
	3.3	Equipment	4			
	3.4	Externally Provided Products and Services	7			
4	PRO	CESS REQUIREMENTS	7			
	4.1	Methods and Procedures	7			
	4.2	Sample Handling	8			
	4.3	Technical Records	8			
	4.4	Ensuring the Validity of Results	9			
	4.5	Reporting of Results	9			
	4.6	Complaints and Non-Conforming Work	10			
	4.7	Control of Data and Information Management	10			
5	MAN	AGEMENT SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS	10			
	5.1	General Requirements	10			
	5.2	Management Review	11			

I GENERAL RECUIRENTS	1	GENERAL.	REQUIREMENTS
----------------------	---	----------	--------------

Requirements in this document reflect those specified in the current version of ISO/IEC 17025 General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories (equivalent to AS/ISO/IEC 17025).

1.1	NATA	Scope	
	1.1.1	Does the current NATA scope of accreditation reflect the scope of Department approval?	
	1.1.2	Does the current NATA scope of accreditation reflect the scope of Department approval?	
	1.1.3	Has the laboratory notified the Department of changes to its NATA scope of accreditation or any change in the management (if applicable)?	
	1.1.4	Does the laboratory have a documented policy for notifying the Department of changes to its NATA scope of accreditation?	
	1.1.5	Are contact details the same as on the Department letter of approval?	
		If not, please provide details:	
1.2	Impai	rtiality and Confidentiality	
	1.2.1	Does the laboratory identify risks to its impartiality (e.g. commercial or financial pressures) and eliminate or minimise these risks when they are identified?	
	1.2.2	Is information generated by the laboratory in the performance of its activities regarded as confidential, except when the information is made publicly available with the consent of the customer?	
2 :	CTDUCT	URAL REQUIREMENTS	
2.1		nisation	
	2.1.1	Is the organisation and management structure defined?	
	2.1.2	Does the laboratory define and document the range of activities for which it conforms with this document, excluding activities undertaken by externally provided laboratory activities on an ongoing basis?	
	2.1.3	Does management communicate to personnel their duties, responsibilities and authorities?	

3 RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS

2	.1	l Personne	1
.7	- 1	i reisonne	

Requirement:

NATA General Accreditation Criteria - ISO/IEC 17025 Standard Application Document, Resource Requirements
NATA Specific Accreditation Criteria Life Sciences ISO/IEC 17025 Annex – On-Site Abattoir Facilities and Contract
Testing Facilities Approved by the Department of Agriculture and Water Resources to Test Carcass Hygiene
Samples and Export Meat Samples

	 3.1.1 Is the personnel complement sufficient for the laboratory's workload and operation? 3.1.2 Does the laboratory document the competence requirements of its personnel, including requirements for education, qualifications, training, technical knowledge, skills and experience? 3.1.3 Does the laboratory ensure that personnel have the competence to perform activities for which they are responsible? 		
	3.1.4	Does the laboratory authorise personnel to perform specific activities including verification and validation of methods, analysis of results and authorisation of results?	
3.2	Facil	ities and Environmental Conditions	
		Are the facilities and environment suitable for the laboratory activities and do not adversely affect the validity of results?	
	3.2.2	For on-plant laboratories is the laboratory physically separated from the operational activities?	
	3.2.3	Is the flow of work designed to minimise cross contamination?	
	3.2.4	Is access to the laboratory restricted to approved personnel only?	
		Does the laboratory have records demonstrating it monitors and controls environmental conditions that may influence the validity of test results?	
	3.2.6	Are measures to control facilities periodically reviewed, such as access, prevention of contamination and effective separation of incompatible areas?	

3.3 Equipment

Facilities are encouraged to develop an in-house documented equipment assurance program which will allow the emphasis to move from a high reliance on demonstration of equipment performance at the time of calibration to:

- having a greater contribution from more frequent checks against reference items or materials;
- cross-checking against similar systems;
- checking of particular critical features.

If such a program is not established, the minimum requirements for calibration and check periods are those documented in NATA's General Accreditation Guidance - General Equipment - Calibration and Checks, General Equipment Table.

Requirement:

NATA General Accreditation Criteria - ISO/IEC 17025 Standard Application Document Reference:

NATA General Accreditation Guidance - General Equipment - Calibration and Checks, General Equipment Table

Calibra	libration				
3.3.1	Is all measurement equipment capable of achieving the necessary measurement accuracy and/or measurement uncertainty required to provide a valid result?				
3.3.2	Is all measurement equipment calibrated when it has been identified as significantly affecting the validity of the reported result and/or when calibration is required to ensure the metrological traceability of the reported result?				
3.3.3		aboratory established a calibration program which is reviewed and as necessary to ensure the confidence in the status of calibration?			
Genera	l Equipme	ent Checklists			
3.3.4	pH meter				
	3.3.4.1	At what frequency are buffer checks undertaken?			
	3.3.4.2	Is pH traceable to specific batches of media and samples?			
3.3.5	Balances	s			
	Ref. NATA	General Accreditation Guidance - User Checks and Maintenance of Laboratory Balances			
	3.3.5.1	Does the balance meet the accuracy required by the methods and other procedures?			
	3.3.5.2	How often are the calibration, single point check and repeatability of the balance checked?			
	3.3.5.3	Are records kept of balance calibration?			
3.3.6	Masses				
	Ref. NATA	General Accreditation Guidance - User Checks and Maintenance of Laboratory Balances			
	3.3.6.1	At what frequency are calibrations undertaken for reference masses?			
3.3.7	Tempera	ature measuring equipment			
Note: Reference to thermometers in the section inclu		eral Accreditation Guidance - Liquid-in-Glass Thermometers – Selection, Use and Calibration rence to thermometers in the section includes both liquid-in-glass and electronic re recording devices unless specifically stated otherwise.			
	3.3.7.1	Are reference thermometer(s) available and are appropriate checks of calibration carried out?			
	3.3.7.2	Are working thermometers appropriately calibrated and/or checked?			
	3.3.7.3	Is the accuracy of working thermometers suitable for the temperature(s) being monitored?			
	3.3.7.4	Are records available for calibrations/checks of all thermometers?			
3.3.8	Thermo	cyclers			
	3.3.8.1	Are appropriate checks and/or calibrations carried out?			

3.3.9	Incubat	ors and water baths	
	3.3.9.1	Have the operational characteristics of the incubators been appropriately validated, i.e. spatial temperature variation?	
	3.3.9.2	Are temperatures monitored and recorded daily?	
3.3.10	Refriger	rators	
	3.3.10.1	Do the units available for storage provide separation of clean from potentially contaminated material (e.g. media reagents and samples)?	
	3.3.10.2	Are the temperatures monitored and recorded daily?	
3.3.11	Pipettor	rs/Dispensers	
	3.3.11.1	Are pipettors appropriately calibrated and checked for accuracy?	
3.3.12	Culture	media	
	Media p	urchased from NATA-accredited manufacturers	
	Note: The	manufacturer of 3M Petrifilm is not NATA accredited	
	3.3.12.1	Is a quality control report or certificate either available online or provided?	
	3.3.12.2	Does the laboratory keep a log of receipt dates of media, type and batch number?	
	3.3.12.3	Is media stored in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	
	3.3.12.4	Does the laboratory check such reports for relevant parameters (e.g. volume checks, recoveries, microbial performance etc.)?	
	Media p	repared in-house	
	Note: media purchased from non-NATA accredited suppliers must undergo the same quality control testing as required for media prepared in-house Reference:		
	ASM Guide 2014)	lines for Assuring Quality of Food & Water Microbiological Culture Media (2nd edition	
	3.3.12.5	Are records kept of the date received, date opened and shelf life for raw materials i.e. dehydrated media?	
	3.3.12.6	Are all prepared media stored appropriately?	
	3.3.12.7	Are all prepared media labelled with date of preparation and/or shelf-life?	
	3.3.12.8	Are records kept of all aspects of each batch of prepared medium? Do records include:	
		 Medium name 	
		- Batch number	
		 Date of preparation 	
		 Ingredients, manufacturer and/or batch number 	
		 Date medium QC tested 	

			SITE NO:
		 Number of units tested (AS 1191) 	
		 Operator's signature and date 	
		 Method of preparation 	
		 Sterilisation time and temperature 	
		 Volume dispensed (before and after sterilisation) 	
		 Number of units dispensed 	
		 Media Quality Control 	
		 Has the laboratory documented guidelines for determining acceptable sterility and microbial performance test results for each medium? 	
		3.3.12.9 Is each batch of medium checked for sterility, final pH and is a physical examination made for colour, clarity, and gel strength as appropriate?	
		3.3.12.10 For each batch of non-selective media, are records kept of biochemical reactions and colony morphology? For quantitative non-selective media is recovery of target organisms compared against a non-selective reference media?	
		3.3.12.11 For each batch of selective media, are records kept of biochemical reactions and colony morphology? For quantitative selective media is recovery of target organisms compared against non-selective media?	
		3.3.12.12 Are all quality control test results recorded?	
		3.3.12.13 Are general comments regarding acceptance / rejection recorded?	
.4	Exteri	nally Provided Products and Services	
	3.4.1	Does the laboratory have a procedure and retain records for reviewing, approving and communicating the laboratory's requirements for externally provided products and services?	
I	PROCESS	S REQUIREMENTS	
.1	Metho	ods and Procedures	
	Requiren NATA Ge NATA Sp Testing F		
	4.1.1	Are current Department approved methods used for testing export samples (without modification unless approved by the Department and accepted by the customer in writing)?	
	4.1.2	Before a new standard method is used, does the laboratory perform an appropriate verification study and keep records of this study for review?	
	4.1.3	Are methods documented in sufficient procedural detail that provides clear stepwise instructions to an operator?	

ACCREDITATION NO:

			SITE NO:
	4.1.4	Can the laboratory demonstrate that its methods and procedures are under sufficient control?	
	4.1.5	Do the methods include instructions for routine quality control (e.g. daily use of positive controls)?	
	4.1.6	Where in the analyses are controls introduced and what is the inoculation level (10-100 cfu)?	
	4.1.7	Does the laboratory identify contributions to uncertainty, including analytical and sampling components, where sampling is under the control of the laboratory? (Worked examples in the procedure are recommended.)	
4.2	_	le Handling	
	Departm	nent: eneral Accreditation Criteria - ISO/IEC 17025 Standard Application Document ent of Agriculture and Water Resources Microbiological Manual for Sampling and Testing of Export Meat t Products, Section 6	
	4.2.1	Does each sample receive a unique identifier which is used throughout the testing process?	
	4.2.2	Is the date of sample collection and receipt recorded?	
	4.2.3	Are samples stored appropriately if not tested immediately?	
	4.2.4	Does the laboratory document its sampling plan and method, including appropriate acceptance/rejection criteria for samples arriving at the laboratory?	
4.3	Requirer	nical Records nent: eneral Accreditation Criteria - ISO/IEC 17025 Standard Application Document	
	4.3.1	Does the laboratory maintain an information management system designed to suit its particular requirements which includes information on samples received, raw test data, quality control data, measurement uncertainty, final results and a traceable link between the samples as received and the report issued regarding that sample (or set of samples)?	
	4.3.2	Is an unambiguous identifier quoted on all documentation associated with the sample e.g. worksheets, work books, reports etc., and available for external audit?	
	4.3.3	Are all details regarding tests performed, dilutions analysed and identity of analyst recorded?	
	4.3.4	Are original records/observations recorded at the time of testing retained?	
	4.3.5	Are final results calculated and results and transcriptions checked, preferably by another analyst?	
	4.3.6	Is the information management system protected from unauthorised access and maintained so that it protects data and information integrity?	

ACCREDITATION NO:

4.4 Ensuring the Validity of Results

Requirement:

4.5

NATA General Accreditation Criteria - ISO/IEC 17025 Standard Application Document

NATA General Accreditation Criteria - Metrological Traceability

NATA Specific Accreditation Criteria Life Sciences ISO/IEC 17025 Annex – On-Site Abattoir Facilities and Contract Testing Facilities Approved by the Department of Agriculture and Water Resources to Test Carcass Hygiene Samples and Export Meat Samples

Control Culture Management

4.4.1	Does the results?	e laboratory use reference material and/or quality controls to validate		
	4.4.1.1	Does the laboratory have an appropriate culture management program i.e. a tiered system?		
	4.4.1.2	Are control cultures used for all methods?		
	4.4.1.3	Are records maintained that show the identity, date of acquisition, source and maintenance conditions of control cultures?		
Profic	iency Tes	ting		
4.4.2	Is the la	boratory enrolled in a relevant proficiency testing (PT) program?		
4.4.3	Is profic	ciency for each test organism undertaken at least six monthly?		
4.4.4	Are all test methods for a particular analyte rotated through the PT program?			
4.4.5	Does the lab have a policy for rotating analysts through the PT program?			
4.4.6	Is performance in PT programs satisfactory?			
4.4.7		e laboratory assess its performance under the PT program and undertake ve actions when performance in PT is found to be unsatisfactory?		
Repor	ting of R	esults		
Requiren		ditation Criteria - ISO/IEC 17025 Standard Application Document		
4.5.1	Are repo	orts appropriately reviewed and authorised prior to release?		
4.5.2		reports provide a clear, unambiguous statement of results including the ag information?		
	– A tit	tle		
	– Nan	ne and address of the testing laboratory		
	– Nan	ne and contact information of the customer		
	– Uni	que report identification		
	- Date	e sampled		
	- Date	e and time received (if critical to the validity of the result)		
	– Date	e and time analysed (if critical to the validity of the result		

4.6

4.7

5

5.1

		SITE NO:
	 Date reviewed and a statement that the report only relates to the particular sample tested (where the laboratory is not responsible for sampling) 	
	 A disclaimer if the sample was received outside specified conditions, but the customer nonetheless required the sample to be tested 	
	 Test methods used 	
	 Results, including unit of measurement and measurement uncertainty (measurement uncertainty is reported when it is relevant to the validity or application of the test results, it is required by the customer, or it affects conformity to a specification limit) 	
	 Date of test report 	
	 Signature of authorised person 	
4.5.3	How are Department relevant test results reported directly to the Department at the same time that they are sent to the client/plant management?	
Comp Require	laints and Non-Conforming Work	
NATA G	eneral Accreditation Criteria - ISO/IEC 17025 Standard Application Document	
4.6.1	Does the laboratory have a documented process to receive, evaluate and make decisions on complaints, including;	
	– Tracking corrective actions and verifying their effectiveness?	
	 Ensuring, where practical, the outcomes are reviewed and communicated to the complainant by an individual not involved in the original laboratory activities in question? 	
4.6.2	Does the laboratory have a procedure for handling non-conforming testing and/or calibration work?	
4.6.3	Have non-conformances been investigated and appropriate action taken?	
Contr Require	ol of Data and Information Management	
NATA G	eneral Accreditation Criteria - ISO/IEC 17025 Standard Application Document	
4.7.1	Does the laboratory have access to the data and information required to perform its activities i.e. applicable standards and procedures?	
4.7.2	Are calculations and data transfers checked in an appropriate and systematic manner?	
MANAGI	EMENT SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS	
Gener	ral Requirements	
5.1.1	Does the laboratory maintain and document a management system either in full compliance with ISO/IEC 17025 or in compliance with ISO 9001?	
5.1.2	If the laboratory is operating under the requirements of ISO 9001 are appropriate records available for its compliance with this standard as certified by a JAS-ANZ accredited certification body, including any corrective actions taken in relation to audit findings?	

ACCREDITATION NO:

	5.1.3	If complying with ISO/IEC 17025 does the management system address the following;	
		 Management system documentation 	
		 Control of documents 	_
		 Control of records 	
		Actions to address risks and opportunities	
		- Improvement	
		- Corrective actions	
		- Internal audits	
		- Management reviews	
5.2	Mana	gement Review	
	5.2.1	Does the laboratory management periodically conduct a review of the laboratory's management system and testing and/or calibration activities?	
	5.2.2	Do laboratory staff participate in management review meetings?	
	5.2.3	Is participation reflected in the minutes of the management review meeting?	
	5.2.4	Does the management review include the following:	
		Changes in internal and external issues that are relevant to the laboratory	
		- Fulfilment of objectives	
		- Suitability of policies and procedures	
		Status of actions from previous management reviews	
		- Outcome of recent internal audits	
		- Corrective actions	
		Assessment by external bodies	
		 Changes in the volume and type of work or in the range of laboratory activities 	
		Customer and personnel feedback	
		- Complaints	
		Effectiveness of any implemented improvements	
		- Adequacy of resources	
		Results of risk identification	
		Outcomes of the assurance of the validity of results	
		 Other relevant factors, such as monitoring activities and training 	