

AUSTRALIAN HERITAGE COUNCIL
AHC113 – Videoconference
1-2 December 2021
Draft Meeting Summary

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Item 14: Australian War Memorial (HMP)

[Professor Vines declared she had worked on a report for the site in 2018, but that the report was not publicised, and she did not feel there was a conflict of interest to declare.]

s. 22(1)(a)(ii) provided a summary of the paper and both Professors Vines and Lochhead commented it had captured their input well, in particular the omissions in the HMP regarding the redevelopment project.

The Chair noted this listing is an example of a man-made power of place.

s. 22(1)(a)(ii) offered to provide a 3-D model the War Memorial had done.

Council **agreed** the HMP addresses matters prescribed in the EPBC Regulations and is not inconsistent with the National and Commonwealth Heritage Management Principles, and **conditionally approved** the HMP subject to feedback from the Historic Pair being addressed.

Actions:

- Department to provide the 3-D model to Council members for interest.
- Department to provide the Historic Pair with an updated version of the HMP for their endorsement, once received from the Australian War Memorial.

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Sent: Wednesday, 8 December 2021 8:36 AM
To: Gordon Kelly
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: RE: AWM - HMP - (Nov 29 submission) [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi Gordon,

We are looking to provide the changes to the Historic Experts this week. It will depend on their schedules for when they are able to review and get back to us, but we will be sure to let you know once we hear back from them.

Thanks,

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: Gordon Kelly <Gordon.Kelly@awm.gov.au>
Sent: Tuesday, 7 December 2021 2:46 PM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @awe.gov.au
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @awe.gov.au; David Fitzgerald <David.Fitzgerald@awm.gov.au>
Subject: RE: AWM - HMP - (Nov 29 submission) [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Thank you for your email and advice regarding the AWM Submission. Obviously keen to understand if I need to continue to co-ordinate re-edits and formatting of the AWM HMP.

This will potentially require GML resources.

Is there a time frame for the Historic Experts to read and make comments, or is this something that will potentially happen in the new year.

Again, thank you for the advice.

My Regards, Gordon K

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @awe.gov.au
Sent: Monday, 6 December 2021 12:34 PM
To: Gordon Kelly <Gordon.Kelly@awm.gov.au>
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @environment.gov.au; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @environment.gov.au
Subject: RE: AWM - HMP - (Nov 29 submission) [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi Gordon,

Thank you for this – I've safely got your files and we will start to review them over the coming days.

Yes, the HMP went to the Council last week.

The Council agreed to conditionally approve the document pending the comments from the Historic Experts being addressed appropriately. No further issues were raised.

So we will now have a look over the changes with the view to provide them to the Historic Experts for comment.

We will be in touch as we progress.

Thanks again for all your work on this, we're almost there!

Chat soon,

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: Gordon Kelly s. 47E(d)
Sent: Friday, 3 December 2021 3:16 PM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)[@awe.gov.au](mailto:s.22(1)(a)(ii)@awe.gov.au)
Subject: AWM - HMP - (Nov 29 submission)

Good afternoon s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
 Thank you for your email, and yes, of course.
 Please find enclosed the following files to support the redrafting and final submission of the AWM - HMP.
 Section 2, 5 and 6 re-drafts.
 Cover page and contents updates.
 PDF marked up and final with no mark ups.
 Without bothering s. 22(1), is there any updates. Did the submission get presented to the AHC.

My Regards, Gordon K

Files attached to this message

Filename	Size	Checksum (SHA256)
02AWMHMP.docx	13 MB	3d0e23e95bd87ef527c17d18f9313add94b449f06e24ce7d9f54c8c35ad1e7b1
05AWMHMP.docx	83.5 KB	2ff3acea7cc0b9921bdc9f2159f830eb2567f697c556c529e64d1e545a42d236
06AWMHMP.docx	81.2 KB	45c7129bfb040baf4657fa2af6ad240658fbc7b537f81308c20a4e13ece18bf3
Contents Page.docx	45 KB	98954c3196d8d6b8fa7dc57ede990e37a5163be757c7251831a562f7e0df0e5c
GML Heritage_AWM HMP_29 Nov 2021.pdf	20.1 MB	112a04c88271047c9694cdfb6a2284537ac100d3e045326313d742614890893c
GML Heritage_AWM HMP_29 Nov 2021_Highlighted Edits.pdf	20.1 MB	55e3085e1bf4a346b92e5eb316ad0a4f9893aeab3c3fbed85537c152c419ddc6
Report Cover.docx	197 KB	46217342c488ab1228fafa5ccf3a1c7b94c289b5cf2a05368a5979ab05b08775

Please click on the following link to download the attachments:

s. 47E(d)

This email or download link can be forwarded to anyone.

The attachments are available until: **Friday, 17 December.**

Message ID: gvLmfvxv0fhVkJGTUrm70vG

Reply to this Secure Message

s. 47E(d)



This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for its recipient(s). If you have received this email by error, please delete this e-mail from your system and notify the sender immediately. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure. E-mail information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late, be incomplete, or contain viruses. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message.

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Sent: Monday, 13 December 2021 9:08 AM
To: Gordon Kelly
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: AHC COMMENTS ON REVISED AWM MANAGEMENT PLAN [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Attachments: 0 - REVISED HL CURRENT GML Heritage_AWM COMMENTS BY HISTORIC HERITAGE PAIR .docx

Hi Gordon

Thank you for providing the updated HMP for the Australian War Memorial. The Historic Expert Pair have now reviewed the revised HMP and have a few minor comments/feedback in the attached document that they would like to be addressed.

Please let me know if you'd like to discuss.

Cheers, s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii) | Director | Cultural Heritage Section | Heritage Branch | Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment | s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

COMMENTS BY THE HISTORIC HERITAGE PAIR (HHP), Liz Vines and Helen Lochhead
on revised AWM Heritage Management Plan - 10 December 2021

The HHP compliment the authors for adding to the history of the AWM with a more contemporary historical overlay to better reflect the recent history of the site.

Please note that it may be construed that Liz Vines, has a conflict of interest in reviewing the updated management plan. (Given that she did have some previous input on heritage issues as a consultant to the Government). However, these comments reflect the input of both members of the HHP who have requested that the revised Management Plan objectively reports the new development process. There was conflicting views in this process (to keep Anzac Hall or not) and conflict is often part of any heritage project. In addition to other suggestions, it is recommended that reference is made to this part of the story of the site's development.

SETOUT

Page 1 – 1.3 heading needs to be on the next page

OTHER COMMENTS

Page 19 –the addition of western precinct memorials is supported but could the dates of these be added in the headings, to make it clear. Some dates are not included making information not as readily understandable. Eg Animals in War (date of installation not included and very unclear) , similarly Elevation of the Senses sculpture

Page 34 • Impact on Anzac Hall - Option 1 was the only option which involved the demolition of Anzac Hall, however Option 2 would have materially impacted the architecture of Anzac Hall with connections to either side.

Comment – It is requested that there is more discussion about how Anzac Hall was referred to in the current management plan, the inclusion of Anzac Hall in the heritage values of the place, and include reference to the Sir Zelman Cowan Award for Public Architecture

.

Page 37 –

Text states “The competition jury was supported by a heritage conservation architect, Ms Liz Vines AO the Project’s design manager, a probity lawyer and a quantity surveyor who provided specialist advice on key performance outcomes of each of the competition entries”. – recommended to change as follows:

Specialist advice was provided to the competition jury by the Project’s design manager, a heritage conservation architect, a probity lawyer, and a quantity surveyor on key performance outcomes of each of the competition entries.

Reason – Either include all the names or none of the names. In the text only Liz Vines is referred to and this is inconsistent as no other names are referred to. Preference is given to deleting Liz Vines name to be consistent with other discussion. Note that if all names are included, then Liz Vines is OAM not AO.

Page 37

There were three entries that proposed the replacement of the existing Anzac Hall and one that proposed a solution that retained Anzac Hallsuggest adding (*that specifically responded to the current Management Plan reference to the heritage values of Anzac Hall*).

General Comment- Given that the project is well underway, Anzac Hall is now demolished, and the development is going ahead, we request the inclusion of a section which makes some reference to the contentious nature of the development. There is no mention here in the report that the Department, the Australian Heritage Council, the Australian Institute of

Architects, and many of the public submissions all recommended retention of Anzac Hall. This is part of the history of the development. We realise that the client may not want this included but this is a linear factual history which is being told here. It is now part of the social history of the place, part of the story of decisions being made about its role into the future. It is inappropriate to have editorial gaps. We would like this included. It could be a short paragraph such as (this is only an example and could be better worded, but the sentiment is to be included)

This revised Heritage Management Plan was finalised at the end of 2021 when the Redevelopment project was well underway and Anzac Hall had been demolished in (put in correct date here??). As with any major project related to an important heritage site, conflicts can arise. This is not unusual. There was considerable debate and public discussion about the appropriateness of demolishing Anzac Hall. Many key organisations like the Australian Institute of Architects and the Australian Heritage Council opposed the demolition of a seminal award-winning exemplar of contemporary architecture. There was lively debate in the media, including open letters expressing concern by prominent Australians. However it was the key objective for the AWM Council to fulfil the requirements of a detailed and carefully considered brief to ensure that the vision and operational objectives of the Council are met. The AW Council considered that the retention of Anzac Hall would compromise the realisation of the vision and effective operation of the AWM.

Or something similar – to honestly tell the story of the recent developments of the place.

Thank you for considering these comments.

Helen Lochhead and Elizabeth Vines

From: Gordon Kelly <Gordon.Kelly@awm.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, 15 December 2021 8:31 AM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) David Fitzgerald; McCarthy, Therese
Subject: RE: AHC COMMENTS ON REVISED AWM MANAGEMENT PLAN [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Good mornings. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Thank you for the email.
Happy to work with that proposed schedule.
It is the 'Festive Season' so most schedules are already pressurised leading up to the Christmas break.

Enjoy the break and I will be in touch in the new year.

My Regards, Gordon K

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @awe.gov.au>
Sent: Tuesday, 14 December 2021 3:09 PM
To: Gordon Kelly <Gordon.Kelly@awm.gov.au>
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @awe.gov.au>; David Fitzgerald <David.Fitzgerald@awm.gov.au>; McCarthy, Therese <Therese.McCarthy@gml.com.au>
Subject: RE: AHC COMMENTS ON REVISED AWM MANAGEMENT PLAN [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi Gordon

Thanks for your message. I'm going on leave on Friday and will be back on deck on the 4th of January. There will be people here next week of course but I think there's no particular rush to finalise this? And presumably we'll need to run back past the AHC. So suggest you aim to get it to us early January.

Happy to discuss, s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii) |Director| Cultural Heritage Section|Heritage Branch |Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment |s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: Gordon Kelly <Gordon.Kelly@awm.gov.au>
Sent: Tuesday, 14 December 2021 2:09 PM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @awe.gov.au>
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @awe.gov.au>; David Fitzgerald <David.Fitzgerald@awm.gov.au>; McCarthy, Therese <Therese.McCarthy@gml.com.au>
Subject: RE: AHC COMMENTS ON REVISED AWM MANAGEMENT PLAN [SEC=OFFICIAL]

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Hi

Tried to call to thank you sincerely for your email and the suggested comments from the Historic Experts.

I am currently working with the development team to discuss and agree on the comments / inclusions as suggested. Obviously mindful, that the 'new additions' don't conflict with the existing narrative.

The edits (contents reference and date of installation of the newer memorials) will of course be updated.
I will reach out to GML this afternoon to co-ordinate the redrafting of the edits.

When would you like the final (final) submission provided to The Department. (DAWE)
I am keen for the final (final) submission not to be lost or forgotten during the x-mas break.

My Regards, Gordon K

Project Officer | Buildings and Services
Gordon.kelly@awm.gov.au t 02 62636639 |
Australian War Memorial | GPO Box 345 Canberra ACT 2601 | www.awm.gov.au

Attachments over 5mb please use the AWM file transfer utility
s. 47E(d)

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @awe.gov.au>
Sent: Monday, 13 December 2021 9:38 AM
To: Gordon Kelly <Gordon.Kelly@awm.gov.au>
Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @environment.gov.au; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @environment.gov.au>
Subject: AHC COMMENTS ON REVISED AWM MANAGEMENT PLAN [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi Gordon

Thank you for providing the updated HMP for the Australian War Memorial. The Historic Expert Pair have now reviewed the revised HMP and have a few minor comments/feedback in the attached document that they would like to be addressed.

Please let me know if you'd like to discuss.

Cheers, s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii) | Director | Cultural Heritage Section | Heritage Branch | Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment | s. 22(1)(a)(ii)



This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for its recipient(s). If you have received this email by error, please delete this e-mail from your system and notify the sender immediately. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure. E-mail information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late, be incomplete, or contain viruses. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message.



This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for its recipient(s). If you have received this email by error, please delete this e-mail from your system and notify the sender immediately. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure. E-mail information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late, be incomplete, or contain viruses. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message.

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Sent: Friday, 21 January 2022 12:14 PM
To: Gordon Kelly
Cc: Chris Widenbar; David Fitzgerald; McCarthy, Therese; s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
Subject: s. 22(1)(a)(ii)
RE: AWM - HMP - (Nov 29 submission) [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi Gordon,

Hope you had a great break and are easing back into work.
Apologies on the delay in responding, I've been sitting on a hiring panel this week and my emails have piled up a bit.

Having a look back through our correspondence to refresh myself, the last email I can see from 15 December said that the AWM would work with GML to address the comments from the AHC and provide them to us early in the new year. From there we would look to provide the changes to the AHC.

From our end, there is no set timeframe – we can provide the document to the AHC out of session. We can work to your schedule, I'm sure we are all keen to have the plan finalised.

As always, happy to discuss.

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: Gordon Kelly <Gordon.Kelly@awm.gov.au>
Sent: Wednesday, 19 January 2022 1:56 PM
To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @awe.gov.au
Cc: Chris Widenbar <Chris.Widenbar@awm.gov.au>; David Fitzgerald <David.Fitzgerald@awm.gov.au>; McCarthy, Therese <Therese.McCarthy@gml.com.au>; s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @awe.gov.au
Subject: RE: AWM - HMP - (Nov 29 submission) [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

Hoping that you had some well-deserved time off during the xmas period.

Just a note to reach out, and understand if there was a set time frame for the revised (AHC redraft suggestions) regarding the AWM HMP. (Nov 29 2021 – Submission)
Most of our AWM team, along with GML are back in the office, so keen to keep this project moving forward.

My Regards, Gordon K

Project Officer | Buildings and Services
Gordon.kelly@awm.gov.au | t 02 62636639 |
Australian War Memorial | GPO Box 345 Canberra ACT 2601 | www.awm.gov.au

Attachments over 5mb please use the AWM file transfer utility
s. 47E(d)

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) @awe.gov.au
Sent: Wednesday, 8 December 2021 9:06 AM

To: Gordon Kelly <Gordon.Kelly@awm.gov.au>

Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) <@awe.gov.au>; David Fitzgerald <David.Fitzgerald@awm.gov.au>s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

s. 22(1)(a)(ii) <i@environment.gov.au>

Subject: RE: AWM - HMP - (Nov 29 submission) [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi Gordon,

We are looking to provide the changes to the Historic Experts this week.

It will depend on their schedules for when they are able to review and get back to us, but we will be sure to let you know once we hear back from them.

Thanks,

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: Gordon Kelly <Gordon.Kelly@awm.gov.au>

Sent: Tuesday, 7 December 2021 2:46 PM

To: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) <@awe.gov.au>

Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) <@awe.gov.au>; David Fitzgerald <David.Fitzgerald@awm.gov.au>

Subject: RE: AWM - HMP - (Nov 29 submission) [SEC=OFFICIAL]

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

H

Thank you for your email and advice regarding the AWM Submission.

Obviously keen to understand if I need to continue to co-ordinate re-edits and formatting of the AWM HMP.

This will potentially require GML resources.

Is there a time frame for the Historic Experts to read and make comments, or is this something that will potentially happen in the new year.

Again, thank you for the advice.

My Regards, Gordon K

From: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) <@awe.gov.au>

Sent: Monday, 6 December 2021 12:34 PM

To: Gordon Kelly <Gordon.Kelly@awm.gov.au>

Cc: s. 22(1)(a)(ii) <@environment.gov.au>; Pamela Ricardi

s. 22(1)(a)(ii) <@environment.gov.au>

Subject: RE: AWM - HMP - (Nov 29 submission) [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Hi Gordon,

Thank you for this – I've safely got your files and we will start to review them over the coming days.

Yes, the HMP went to the Council last week.

The Council agreed to conditionally approve the document pending the comments from the Historic Experts being addressed appropriately. No further issues were raised.

So we will now have a look over the changes with the view to provide them to the Historic Experts for comment.

We will be in touch as we progress.

Thanks again for all your work on this, we're almost there!

Chat soon,

s. 22(1)(a)(ii)

From: Gordon Kelly [s. 47E\(d\)](#)
Sent: Friday, 3 December 2021 3:16 PM
To: [s. 22\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)@awe.gov.au](mailto:s.22(1)(a)(ii)@awe.gov.au)
Subject: AWM - HMP - (Nov 29 submission)

[s. 22\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)](#)

Good afternoon

Thank you for your email, and yes, of course.

Please find enclosed the following files to support the redrafting and final submission of the AWM - HMP.

Section 2, 5 and 6 re-drafts.

Cover page and contents updates.

PDF marked up and final with no mark ups.

Without bothering [s. 22\(1\)\(a\)\(ii\)](#) is there any updates. Did the submission get presented to the AHC.

My Regards, Gordon K

Files attached to this message

Filename	Size	Checksum (SHA256)
02AWMHMP.docx	13 MB	3d0e23e95bd87ef527c17d18f9313add94b449f06e24ce7d9f54c8c35ad1e7b1
05AWMHMP.docx	83.5 KB	2ff3acea7cc0b9921bdc9f2159f830eb2567f697c556c529e64d1e545a42d236
06AWMHMP.docx	81.2 KB	45c7129bfb040baf4657fa2af6ad240658fbc7b537f81308c20a4e13ece18bf3
Contents Page.docx	45 KB	98954c3196d8d6b8fa7dc57ede990e37a5163be757c7251831a562f7e0df0e5c
GML Heritage_AWM HMP_29 Nov 2021.pdf	20.1 MB	112a04c88271047c9694cdfb6a2284537ac100d3e045326313d742614890893c
GML Heritage_AWM HMP_29 Nov 2021_Highlighted Edits.pdf	20.1 MB	55e3085e1bf4a346b92e5eb316ad0a4f9893aeab3c3fbed85537c152c419ddc6
Report Cover.docx	197 KB	46217342c488ab1228fafa5ccf3a1c7b94c289b5cf2a05368a5979ab05b08775

Please click on the following link to download the attachments:

[s. 47E\(d\)](#)

This email or download link can be forwarded to anyone.

The attachments are available until: **Friday, 17 December.**

Message ID: [gvLmfvxv0fhVkJTUrm70vG](#)

[s. 47E\(d\)](#)

Reply to this Secure Message



s. 47E(d)



This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for its recipient(s). If you have received this email by error, please delete this e-mail from your system and notify the sender immediately. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure. E-mail information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late, be incomplete, or contain viruses. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message.



This message may contain confidential information and is intended only for its recipient(s). If you have received this email by error, please delete this e-mail from your system and notify the sender immediately. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure. E-mail information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late, be incomplete, or contain viruses. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message.